Let's see how the TI-83/84 can be used to generate a function which approximates a set of data points.

## A Data Set

A ball is thrown upward at $60 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ from a 100 ft tall building. Its height ( ft ) at various times (sec) is recorded in the following table.

We want to plot the data and then find an appropriate algebraic model $H=H(t)$ for this event. Ideally, $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t})$ should pass through each data point.

| t | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 100 |
| 1 | 144 |
| 2 | 156 |
| 3 | 136 |
| 4 | 84 |
| 5 | 0 |

## Plotting the Data Points

(1) Determine which variable is the independent variable, $x$, and which is the dependent variable, $y$. Here we choose ' $x$ ' as the time and ' $y$ ' as the height. ' $x$ ' should always be the first list variable.
(2) Enter the Data into Calculator: (a) STAT (b) EDIT
(c) Use existing $\mathrm{L}_{1} \& \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ or create alternate variable names. Here we used $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ \& $\mathrm{L}_{2}$. Note: The data must align in pairs. That is, the length of $\mathrm{L}_{1} \& \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ must be equal. Why?

(3) Manually set the viewing Window or use ZOOM, 9:ZoomStat. We used $[-1,6] \times[-10,200]$.
(4) Setup the Data Plot: (a) $2^{\text {na }}$, STATPLOT, 1 Plot $1 \ldots$
(b) On- turns on the data plot; Type-we chose non-connected; Xlist- $x$ variable is in $L_{1}$; Ylist- $y$-variable is in $L_{2}$; Mark- shape of point marker.
(5) Plot: GRAPH

This data is clearly non-linear. Why?
We can now use the calculator's built-in curve fitting capability to obtain an approximating equation. This is called regression.


## Curve Fitting

From a review of function shapes, it appears this data may best fit a parabola, i.e. $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$.
(1) STAT, CALC, 5:QuadReg, ENTER
(2) $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{L}_{2} . \mathrm{L}_{1} \& \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ are the defaults and may be omitted. We chose to have our result automatically placed in the y -variables listing. Here we used $Y_{1}$. To access $Y_{1}$, use VARS, Y-VARS, 1:Function... This saves unnecessary typing later.


If you have the newer operating system fill in the screen as shown here. : To access $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$, use VARS, Y-VARS, 1:Function... Select 'Calculate' and press ENTER


## An Application

Under normal conditions, Little Creek (LC) flows at $\sim 1,000 \mathrm{cfs}$. There is a depth gauge located on LC and at normal flows the gauge reads $\sim 6 \mathrm{ft}$.

When Little Creek reaches 10 ft on the gauge, the creek is officially in flood.


On April $12^{\text {th }}$, there was a huge thunderstorm that began at noon. Use the following data to complete this analysis.

|  | Time | $12: 00$ | $12: 30$ | $1: 00$ | $1: 30$ | $2: 00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gauge (ft) | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.75 | 11.0 | 11.5 |


|  | Flow (cfs) | 11 | 65 | 180 | 375 | 610 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gauge (ft) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |

(a) For the Time vs. Gauge data, which should be $x$, which should be $y$ ? $\qquad$
(b) Graph the data. Choose an appropriate regression. Write the result here: $\qquad$
(c) At what time and at what gauge reading is the peak flood predicted? $\qquad$
(d) At what time is the flow expected to return to normal (6')? $\qquad$
(e) Let the Gauge depend upon the Flow and enter the data into your TI. Graph the data.
(f) Although the data suggests a quadratic, explain why the relation between the Gauge \& Flow readings cannot be quadratic.
(g) For Guage vs. Flow choose a power regression $\left(y=a x^{b}\right)$. Write the result here: $\qquad$
(h) Using $y=a x^{b}$, what is the predicted gauge reading at 1000 cfs? $\qquad$
(i) Using $y=a x^{b}$, at what flow is the creek expected to reach flood level (10')? $\qquad$

## An Application

Under normal conditions, Little Creek (LC) flows at $\sim 1,000 \mathrm{cfs}$. There is a depth gauge located on LC and at normal flows the gauge reads $\sim 6 \mathrm{ft}$.

When Little Creek reaches 10 ft on the gauge, the creek is officially in flood.


On April $12^{\text {th }}$, there was a huge thunderstorm that began at noon. Use the following data to complete this analysis.

| $x$ | Time | $12: 00$ | $12: 30$ | $1: 00$ | $1: 30$ | $2: 00$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | Gauge (ft) | 6.0 | 8.0 | 9.75 | 11.0 | 11.5 |


| $x$ | Flow (cfs) | 11 | 65 | 180 | 375 | 610 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | Gauge $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 |

(a) For the Time vs. Gauge data, which should be $x$, which should be $y$ ?

The Gauge reading depends upon time and $y$ depends on $x$ so $y=$ gauge and $x=$ time.
(b) Graph the data. Choose an appropriate regression. Write the result here: $y=-1.0 x^{2}+4.8 x+5.95$
(c) At what time and at what gauge reading is the peak flood predicted? $\qquad$ @ 2.4 (2:24 pm, 11.7 ft )
(d) At what time is the flow expected to return to normal (6')? $4.79(4: 47 \mathrm{pm})$
(e) Let the Gauge depend upon the Flow and enter the data into your TI. Graph the data.
(f) Although the data suggests a quadratic, explain why the relation between the Gauge \& Flow readings cannot be quadratic.

A quadratic will go up and then down. However, as the river rises the gauge will continue to rise albeit slower due to the widening of the channel. Thus, a quadratic is unrealistic while a power function makes more sense.
(g) For Guage vs. Flow choose a power regression $\left(y=a x^{b}\right)$. Write the result here: $y=0.3821 x^{0.3982}$
(h) Using $y=a x^{b}$, what is the predicted gauge reading at 1000 cfs? $\qquad$
(i) Using $y=a x^{b}$, at what flow is the creek expected to reach flood level (10')?

